Contributions to Meta-Modeling Tools and Methods

Adrian Pop Programming Environments Laboratory







Outline

- Product Design Environments
- Meta-Modeling
 - Modelica Meta-Model
 - Invasive Composition of Modelica
 - Model-driven Product Design using Modelica
- Meta-Programming
 - Debugging of Natural Semantics Specifications
- Conclusions and Future Work

Domain Specific Environments



Research Objectives

Context

- Model-driven product design environments
 - Modeling and simulation
- Modelica Framework

Objective

- Efficient development of such environments
 - Meta-modeling and meta-programming tools and methods

Modelica

- Modelica
 - Declarative language
 - Multi-domain modeling
 - Everything is a class
 - Visual component programming



- Modelica Association
 - http://www.modelica.org

Meta-Modeling



Meta-Programming



Outline

- Product Design Environments
- Meta-Modeling
 - Modelica Meta-Model
 - Purpose
 - Definition and Applications
 - Problems
 - Invasive Composition of Modelica
 - Model-driven Product Design using Modelica
- Meta-Programming
 - Debugging of Natural Semantics Specifications
- Conclusions and Future Work

Modelica Community

- Fast growing model base
- Needs flexible stand-alone tools for:
 - analysis of models (checkers and validators)
 - pretty printing (un-parsing)
 - interchange with other modeling languages
 - query and transformation of models
 - imposing code style guidelines
 - documentation generation (Html, SVG, MathML, etc)

Need of better support:

- easy access to the language structure
 - interoperability, flexibility

- Store the structure (Abstract Syntax) of the Modelica language using an *alternative* representation
- Create tools that use this alternative representation
- The alternative representation should
 - be easy accessible from any programming language
 - be easy to transform, query and manipulate
 - Support validation through a *meta-model*

XML has all these properties

ModelicaXML Representation



Validation using Modelica Meta-Model



ModelicaXML Representation - Applications

- Applications of ModelicaXML Representation
 - Interoperability and transformation
 - Easy access from any programming language
 - Query facilities
 - Documentation generation
 - Validation of models using the meta-model

Outline

- Product Design Environments
- Meta-Modeling
 - Modelica Meta-Model
 - Invasive Composition of Modelica
 - Invasive Software Composition
 - Modelica Composition
 - Applications
 - Model-driven Product Design using Modelica
- Meta-Programming
 - Debugging of Natural Semantics Specifications
- Conclusions and Future Work

Invasive Software Composition

- Composition of black box components
 - Hard to adapt components to context
 - Generates possibly inefficient systems



- Invasive Software Composition
 - Composition system can see inside the components
 - Components are hidden behind a composition interface
 Components are composed using a composition language
 - Components can be configured by changing their actual code at variation points (boxes and hooks) defined by the component model

Invasive Composition for Modelica



- The benefit of Invasive Modelica Composition
 - Generation of different version of models from product specifications
 - Automatic configuration of models using external sources
 - Fine grain support for library developers
 - Refactoring, reverse engineering, etc

Modelica Component Model – Boxes



Example Box Hierarchy

```
<definition ident="Engine" restriction="class">
   <component visibility="public" variability="parameter"
        type="Integer" ident="cylinders">
        <modification_equals>
        <integer_literal value="4"/>
        </modification_equals>
        </component>
        <component visibility="public" type="Cylinder" ident="c">
        <array_subscripts>
        <component_reference ident="cylinders"/>
        </array_subscripts>
        </component>
        </array_subscripts>
        </component>
        </definition>
```

ModelicaClass

ModelicaComponent

```
ModelicaComponent
```

```
class Engine
   parameter Integer
        cylinders = 4;
   Cylinder c[cylinders];
end Engine;
```

Modelica Component Model – Hooks



Example: Hooks



Composition Programs: Mixin

```
ModelicaCompositionSystem cs =
     new ModelicaCompositionSystem();
ModelicaClass resultBox =
     cs.createModelicaClass("Result.mo.xml");
ModelicaClass firstMixin =
     cs.createModelicaClass("Class1.mo.xml");
ModelicaClass secondBox =
     cs.createModelicaClass("Class2.mo.xml");
   resultBox.mixin(firstMixin);
   resultBox.mixin(secondMixin);
   resultBox.print();
```

Outline

- Product Design Environments
- Meta-Modeling
 - Modelica Meta-Model
 - Invasive Composition of Modelica
 - Model-driven Product Design using Modelica
 - Product Design based on Function-Means decomposition
 - Integration with Modelica for Early Design Validation
- Meta-Programming
 - Debugging of Natural Semantics Specifications
- Conclusions and Future Work

Model-Driven Product Design

Product design

- product concept modeling and evaluation
- physical modeling and simulation
- Need for integration of
 - conceptual modeling tools and
 - modeling and simulation tools

Example: design phases of an Aircraft Product

- Aircraft conceptual model in FMDesign
 - decomposition of the aircraft into functions and means
 - mapping between means and Modelica simulation components
 - simulation of various design choices
 - choosing the best design choice using the simulation results





Courtesy of Olof Johansson. Developed in cooperation with Peter Krus, IKP

Simulation Components for an Aircraft Product



A Framework for Product Design



Framework Integration Tools

ModelicaDB - Modelica Model Database

- is populated with simulation models by importing their ModelicaXML representation
- is a simulation models repository
- provides search and organizational features
- flexibility, scalability and collaborative development

Framework Integration Tools (cont)

- The Selection and Configuration Tool
 - searches ModelicaDB for simulation models
 - calls modeling tools for creating/editing simulation models
 - configuration dialogs for selected simulation models for specific means implementation
- The Automatic Model Generator Tool
 - generates Modelica models of the product
 - calls external simulation tools for simulation
 - feeds the simulation results back to the designer to help him/her choose the best design choice

Architecture Overview



Outline

- Product Design Environments
- Meta-Modeling
 - Modelica Meta-Model
 - Invasive Composition of Modelica
 - Model-driven Product Design using Modelica
- Meta-Programming
 - Debugging of Natural Semantics Specifications
 - Natural Semantics and Relational Meta-Language
 - Debugging framework
- Conclusions and Future Work

ModelicaXML Representation - Problems

Problems

- XML can only express syntax
- No easy way to automatically handle semantics
- Possible solutions when expressing semantics
 - use markup languages developed by Semantic Web to express some of the Modelica semantics
 - use other formalisms like Natural Semantics

Meta-Programming

- Meta-Programs
 - programs that manipulate other programs
- Natural Semantics, a formalism widely used for specification of programming language aspects
 - type systems
 - static, dynamic and translational semantics
 - few implementations in real systems
- Relational Meta-Language (RML)
 - a system for generating efficient executable code from Natural Semantics specifications
 - fast learning curve, used in teaching and specification of languages such as: Java, Modelica, MiniML, etc.
 - previously no support for debugging

Natural Semantics vs. Relational Meta-Language

module exp1:

Natural Semantics formalism

integers:

 $v \in Int$

expressions (abstract syntax):

DIVop of Exp * Exp $e \in Exp ::= v$ NEGop of Exp relation eval: Exp => int |e1 + e2|end |e1 - e2|relation eval: Exp => int = axiom eval(INTconst(ival)) => ival | *e*1**e*2 rule eval(e1) => v1 & eval(e2) => v2 & v1 + v2 => v3 |e1/e2|eval(ADDop(e1, e2)) => v3rule eval(e1) => v1 & eval(e2) => v2 & v1 - v2 => v3 |-e|eval(SUBop(e1, e2)) => v3(1) $v \Rightarrow v$. . . end (* eval *) $\frac{e1 \Rightarrow v1 \ e2 \Rightarrow v2 \ v1 + v2 \Rightarrow v3}{e1 + e2 \Rightarrow v3}$ (2)

Relational Meta-Language

(* Abstract syntax of language Exp1 *)

ADDop of Exp * Exp SUBop of Exp * Exp

MULop of Exp * Exp

datatype Exp = INTconst of int

The Need for RML Debugging

Facilitate language learning

- run, stop and inspect features
- Large specifications are hard to debug
 - Example: OpenModelica compiler
 - 43 packages
 - 57083 lines of code and counting
 - 4054 functions
 - 132 data structures

Debugger Implementation - Overview



Debugger Implementation - Instrumentation

```
(* Evaluation semantics of Exp1 *)
                                    (* Evaluation semantics of Exp1 *)
relation eval: Exp => int =
                                    relation eval: Exp => int =
axiom eval(INTconst(ival)) => ival
                                    axiom eval(INTconst(ival)) => ival
rule eval(e1) => v1 &
     eval(e2) => v2 \&
                                    rule
                                             RML.debug push in01("e1",e1) &
     v1 + v2 => v3
                                             RML.debuq(...) &
                                             eval(e1) => (v1) \&
     eval(ADDop(e1, e2)) => v3
                                             RML.debug push out01("v1",v1) &
                                             RML.debug push in01("e2",e2) &
 . . .
end (* eval *)
                                             RML.debuq(...) => () \&
                                             eval(e2) => (v2) \&
                                             RML.debug push out01("v2",v2) &
                                             RML.debug push in02("v1",v1,"v2",v2
                                                  RML.debuq(...) &
                                       ) &
                                             RML.int add(v1,v2) => (v3)
                                             eval(ADDop(e1, e2)) => (v3)
                                     • • •
                                    end (* eval *)
```

Debugger Functionality (1)



Debugger Functionality (2)

X emacs@kafka.carafe.ida.liu.se

Additional functionality

- viewing status information
- printing backtrace information (stack trace)
- printing call chain
- setting debugger defaults
- getting help

Examining dataprinting variablessending variables to an external browser

File Edit Options Buffers Tools Complete In/Out Signals Help 🜔 🎾 🗶 🐘 🔞 🍪 🤔 🥬 🤶 eval(ADDop(e1.e2)) => v3rule $eval(e1) \Rightarrow v1 &$ eval(e2) => v2 & v1 - v2 = v3eval(SUBop(e1,e2)) => v3rule eval(e1) => v1 & eval(e2) => v2 &M1*v2 => v3 eval(MULop(e1,e2)) => v3rule eval(e1) => v1 &exp1.rml (RML)--L38--C8--60% rmldb@>print v1 NOTE that the depth of printing is set to: 10 Results:[not in current context] Parameters: VARIABLE v1 HAS TYPE: int v1=8:int rmldb@>print v2 NOTE that the depth of printing is set to: 10 Results: VARIABLE v2 HAS TYPE: int v2=3:int Parameters: VARIABLE v2 HAS IYPE: int v2=3:int rmldb@>display v1 NOTE that the depth of printing is set to: 10 Results:[not in current context] Parameters: VARIABLE v1 HAS TYPE: int v1=8:int Variable: [v1] added to display variabile list. rmldb@>display ----- LIST OF DISPLAY VARIABLES -----#0 -> v1 rmldb@>undisplay List of display variables cleared. rmldb@> ** *gud* (Debugger:run)--L88--C7--Bot

Browser for RML Data Structures (1)



Variable value inspection

Current Execution Point

Browser for RML Data Structures (2)

•

RMLDataViewer _ 🗆 × RML Data Viewer 😑 💼 p / print depth: 10 / type: Absyn.Program / file: main.rml / position: 428.22.428.22 / live range: 426.3.486.3 E-Absyn.PROGRAM[2] / type: ((Absyn.Class list, Absyn.Within) => (Absyn.Program)) / file: absyn.rml / position ⊡- LIST / type: Absyn.Class list / file: RML / position: 0.0.0.0 / depth: 1 🕀 💼 Absyn.CLASS[6] / type: ((string, bool, bool, bool, Absyn.Restriction, Absyn.ClassDef) => (Absyn.Cla Absyn.CLASS[6] / type: ((string, bool, bool, bool, Absyn.Restriction, Absyn.ClassDef) => (Absyn.Cla Absyn.CLASS[6] / type: ((string, bool, bool, bool, Absyn.Restriction, Absyn.ClassDef) => (Absyn.Cla STRING / type: string / file: Division / position: 0.0.0.0 / depth: 3 false / type: bool / file: RML / position: 0.0.0.0 / depth: 3 false / type: bool / file: RML / position: 0.0.0.0 / depth: 3 false / type: bool / file: RML / position: 0.0.0.0 / depth: 3 • Help main.rml absyn.rml (** Within statements *) datatype Within = WITHIN of Path | TOP (** - Classes *) (** A class definition consists of a name, a flag to indicate if this *) (** class is declared as `partial', the declared class restriction, *) (** and the body of the declaration. *) datatype Class = CLASS of Ident (* Name *) * bool (* Partial *) * bool (* Final *) * bool (* Encapsulated *) * Restriction (* Restricion *) * ClassDef (* Bodv *) (** The `ClassDef' type contains the definition part of a class *) (** declaration. The definition is either explicit, with a list of *)

(** parts ('public', 'protected', 'equationc' and 'algorithm'), or it *) (** is a definition derived from another class or an enumeration type. *) (** For a derived type, the type contains the name of the derived class and

an optional *)

Data structure browsing

Data structure definition

- Meta-Modeling
 - Alternative Modelica Representation (ModelicaXML)
 - Conform to a Meta-Model for Modelica
 - Invasive Composition of Modelica
 - Model configuration and adaptation
 - Based on ModelicaXML and a Component Model for Modelica
 - Model-driven Product Design using Modelica
 - Integration of conceptual product modeling with modeling and simulation tools
 - Flexibility, scalability
 - Uses ModelicaXML as a middleware
- Meta-Programming
 - Debugging of Natural Semantics Specifications
 - Iarge specification debugging (OpenModelica Compiler)
 - Debugging of MetaModelica models

Future Work

- Meta-Modelica Compiler
 - Unified equation-based meta-modeling and meta-programming specification language for both:
 - Ianguage models and physical system models
 - Work in progress, first version based on RML
 - Compilation of a Modelica extended with features such as:
 - pattern matching, tree structures, lists, tuples, etc.
 - More Meta-Modeling capabilities (constraints on models, etc)
- Improvement of the debugging framework
- Experimenting with an Eclipse-IDE that integrates these tools
- Add new features to the Relational Meta-Language system



Thank you! Questions?

Resources

- ModelicaXML and ModelicaOWL
 - <u>http://www.ida.liu.se/~adrpo/modelica/xml</u>
 - http://www.ida.liu.se/~adrpo/modelica/owl
- The Invasive Composition System Compost
 - <u>http://www.the-compost-system.org/</u>
- Relational Meta-Language (RML)
 - http://www.ida.liu.se/~pelab/rml
- MetaModelica Compiler (MMC)
 - http://www.ida.liu.se/~adrpo/mmc
- Licentiate Thesis
 - <u>http://www.ida.liu.se/~adrpo/lic</u>

Thesis Structure



Meta-Programming 46